

# HOSPITAL PHYSICIAN®

## CRITICAL CARE MEDICINE BOARD REVIEW MANUAL

### STATEMENT OF EDITORIAL PURPOSE

The *Hospital Physician Critical Care Medicine Board Review Manual* is a study guide for fellows and practicing physicians preparing for board examinations in critical care medicine. Each manual reviews a topic essential to the current practice of critical care medicine.

### PUBLISHING STAFF

#### PRESIDENT, GROUP PUBLISHER

Bruce M. White

#### EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

Debra Dreger

#### SENIOR EDITOR

Robert Litchkofski

#### ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Tricia Faggioli

#### EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

Farrowh Charles

#### EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

Barbara T. White

#### EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

Jean M. Gaul

#### PRODUCTION DIRECTOR

Suzanne S. Banish

#### PRODUCTION ASSISTANT

Kathryn K. Johnson

#### ADVERTISING/PROJECT MANAGER

Patricia Payne Castle

#### SALES & MARKETING MANAGER

Deborah D. Chavis

#### NOTE FROM THE PUBLISHER:

This publication has been developed without involvement of or review by the American Board of Internal Medicine.



Endorsed by the  
Association for Hospital  
Medical Education

## Table of Contents

### Part 3: Noninvasive Positive Pressure Ventilation . . . . . 3

*Alan D. Betensley, MD, FCCP, and Rahul Kakkar, MD*  
*John D. Buckley, MD, MPH, Editor*

#### Introduction . . . . . 3

#### Equipment and Technical Issues in NIPPV . . . . . 3

#### Using NIPPV in the Intensive Care Unit . . . . . 6

#### Indications for NIPPV . . . . . 7

#### Summary . . . . . 8

#### References . . . . . 9

Cover Illustration by Kathryn K. Johnson

Copyright 2005, Turner White Communications, Inc., Stafford Avenue, Suite 220, Wayne, PA 19087-3391, www.turner-white.com. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, electronic, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Turner White Communications. The preparation and distribution of this publication are supported by sponsorship subject to written agreements that stipulate and ensure the editorial independence of Turner White Communications. Turner White Communications retains full control over the design and production of all published materials, including selection of appropriate topics and preparation of editorial content. The authors are solely responsible for substantive content. Statements expressed reflect the views of the authors and not necessarily the opinions or policies of Turner White Communications. Turner White Communications accepts no responsibility for statements made by authors and will not be liable for any errors of omission or inaccuracies. Information contained within this publication should not be used as a substitute for clinical judgment.

# HOSPITAL PHYSICIAN®

## CRITICAL CARE MEDICINE BOARD REVIEW MANUAL

### Editors and Contributors

---

#### Editor

**John D. Buckley, MD, MPH**

*Program Director, Fellowship in Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI*

#### Contributors

**Alan D. Betensley, MD, FCCP**

*Assistant Professor, Senior Staff Physician, Division of Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI*

**John D. Buckley, MD, MPH**

*Program Director, Fellowship in Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI*

**Michael W. Donnino, MD**

*Clinical Instructor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital, Boston, MA*

**Rahul Kakkar, MD**

*Assistant Professor, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL*

**Joseph B. Miller, MD**

*Resident, Internal Medicine and Emergency Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI*

# Noninvasive Positive Pressure Ventilation

Alan D. Betensley, MD, FCCP, and Rahul Kakkar, MD

---

## INTRODUCTION

---

Primitive methods of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) were first described in the medical literature centuries ago.<sup>1,2</sup> As a result of animal studies that revealed the risk of fatal pneumothorax with NIPPV, it was outlawed in Europe in the 19th century. Since then, great progress has been made in the fields of pneumatics, electronics, and physiology. The last century saw major advances in mechanical ventilation, marked by the success of noninvasive negative pressure ventilation during the polio epidemics in the United States and northern Europe. In the second half of the century, positive pressure ventilation with endotracheal intubation supplanted the use of noninvasive negative pressure ventilation. The improved design and material of endotracheal tubes, the emergence of large volume–low pressure cuffs, and advances in the design and technology of positive pressure ventilators proved invaluable in improving the efficacy and safety of mechanical ventilation. The resurgence of interest in NIPPV in the past 2 decades has been facilitated by the emergence of new technology, allowing better interface devices as well as improved algorithms for the delivery of the desired pressure and volume.

Mechanical ventilation utilizing an endotracheal tube exposes the patient to risks of barotrauma, tracheal injury, and, most importantly, nosocomial infection (**Table 1**).<sup>3–6</sup> Several randomized prospective trials have demonstrated that NIPPV not only effectively supports adequate respiration but also reduces complications associated with invasive mechanical ventilation. Randomized controlled trials have shown better outcomes in patients with acute respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), in patients with acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema, and in immunosuppressed patients presenting with bilateral pulmonary infiltrates.<sup>7–14</sup> This manual discusses the use of NIPPV in acute respiratory failure.

Important definitions pertaining to noninvasive ventilation are summarized in **Table 2**. Common modes for NIPPV include pressure support and assist-control ventilation. With pressure support, the physician sets the end-

expiratory pressure and the driving pressure, while patient effort and respiratory system compliance determine the resulting tidal volume. With assist-control, the tidal volume is fixed by the physician. This mode has been found to be a less comfortable means of noninvasive ventilation.<sup>15</sup> In addition, bilevel positive airway pressure (PAP) is frequently used. Bilevel PAP is the application of a higher inspiratory positive airway pressure (IPAP) and a lower expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) in a spontaneously breathing patient on NIPPV. (Although commonly used in a generic sense, the term *BiPAP* is the proprietary name for bilevel PAP devices marketed by Respironics Inc. [Murrysville, PA].) Bilevel PAP is essentially equivalent to pressure support ventilation (**Table 2**) but with important differences in terminology. EPAP in bilevel PAP is analogous to positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) in pressure support ventilation. IPAP in bilevel PAP is equivalent to the sum of pressure support and PEEP in pressure support ventilation.

---

## EQUIPMENT AND TECHNICAL ISSUES IN NIPPV

---

### INTERFACES

An ideal interface should be lightweight, transparent, inexpensive, easy to clean, and nonallergenic and should provide an adequate seal. Masks are the most commonly used interfaces in acute settings. Although dead space remains a theoretical concern, the flow of gases is usually sufficient to flush the expired gases accumulated in the mask.<sup>16,17</sup> Nasal and full-face masks are equally effective.<sup>18,19</sup> **Table 3** summarizes the potential advantages and disadvantages of each.<sup>20</sup>

Many nasal masks have forehead spacers to adjust the pressure on the nasal bridge. However, nasal masks may be difficult to use in patients with nasal obstruction or mouth breathing. Dry air causes release of vasoactive amines and leukotrienes in nasal mucosa with resultant engorgement.<sup>21,22</sup> In addition, a mouth leak with nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) can increase nasal airway resistance.<sup>23</sup> The use of humidified air may sometimes overcome the resultant nasal congestion.<sup>23,24</sup> Use of a full-face mask better preserves